Since the last system involves three separate pieces of equipment and would require semi-skilled operators for each operation, it was not possible to calculate with any accuracy the economics involved. Fruit removal was excellent (98%) with 'Pineapple' but much less effective (74%) with 'Valencia'. Very little damage was noted following air harvesting of 'Pineapple'. With 'Valencia', however, leaves were shredded, removed, and some immature fruit was removed. Some of the remaining young fruit was scarred as a result of the air treatment. Anything less than thorough spray coverage of the tree could be easily detected after the air shaker was utilized.

A "mohawk" effect of fruit not removed could be observed. This same effect was noted by Whitney (8). The only observed effect attributable to hedging is a fruit load located in the upper third of the tree. It did allow for better spray penetration through the canopy and in turn allowed for somewhat better air harvesting.

CONCLUSION

Cycloheximide increased the rate of harvest regardless of the harvesting method utilized. A significant reduction in fruit left on the tree and fruit plugged was observed in all tests where cycloheximide was utilized. Less than satisfactory results have been obtained with 'Valencia'. Additional research is needed.
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ABSTRACT

In an attempt to reduce the defoliating action of 2-chloroethylphosphonic acid (Ethrel), while maintaining its effectiveness as a fruit abscission promoter, 'Pineapple' orange leaf and fruit explants were administered the synthetic auxin 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) 48 hr prior to treatment with Ethrel. Abscission was greater in fruit than in leaf explants after 120 hr incubation. However, when Ethrel was sprayed on 'Pineapple' orange trees 1 week after the application of 2,4-D, it caused about as much leaf abscission as did Ethrel alone while causing very little fruit loosening. N-dimethylaminosuccinamic acid (Alar) did not influence abscission of leaf explants and did not greatly alter the abscission accelerating action of subsequently applied Ethrel.

INTRODUCTION

The use of chemical agents to promote abscission of citrus fruit can result in some de-
gree of fruit, leaf, and sometimes wood damage. Cycloheximide (CHI), one of the most effective abscission accelerating agents, has been reported to cause rind pitting in mature 'Pineapple,' 'Jaffa,' and 'Valencia' oranges (6). Ascorbic acid sprays caused severe chemical burn to mature 'Hamlin' (17) and 'Valencia' oranges (16). Treatments with Ethrel, the ethylene evolving (15) and abscission accelerating chemical (10), did not damage fruit but caused excessive defoliation of 'Valencia' trees (6). Although acceptable for processing purposes, mechanically and chemically damaged fruit are unsuitable for the fresh fruit market. Ethrel is so far the only reported abscission-promoting chemical that causes no visible damage to fruit. For Ethrel to have potential as an abscission agent on citrus for the fresh fruit market, it would be essential to reduce its defoliating action.

**Material and Methods**

Petiolar explants were prepared from mature, fully expanded, dark green 'Pineapple' orange leaves. Each explant consisted of 10 mm petiolar and 3 mm leaf midrib tissues (12). Fruit explants consisted of mature, fully de-greened fruit with 3 to 4 in. stem, trimmed to 2 in. prior to treatment. Field sprays were conducted on fully grown 'Pineapple' orange trees on rough lemon rootstock.

**Treatment of leaf explants with Alar and Ethrel:** Leaf explants were treated with 1,000 or 2,000 ppm Alar. Ethrel, at 400 ppm was applied to leaf explants, 24 hr after treatment with 2,000 ppm Alar. A mixture containing 2,000 ppm Alar and 400 ppm Ethrel was also applied to leaf explants. All chemicals were applied at the rate of 4 μl/explant, 2 μl on the distal end, and 2 μl at the proximal end.

**Treatment of leaf explants with 2,4-D and Ethrel:** Leaf explants were treated with 44 ppm (2 x 10⁻⁴M) 2,4-D, followed 48 hr later by treatment with 400 ppm (3.5 x 10⁻³M) Ethrel. Fruit explants were also treated with similar 2,4-D and Ethrel solutions, but through the stem for 12 hr with a 12-hr duration in distilled water between the 2 treatments.

Fruit abscission was measured at various intervals by gently pulling the stem while leaf explants were checked by applying slight pressure against the midrib section of the explant.

**Field sprays of 2,4-D and Ethrel on abscission response of 'Pineapple' orange trees:** Fully grown, bearing 'Pineapple' orange trees were sprayed on December 15, 1969 with 22 ppm 2,4-D, 400 ppm Ethrel, or with 2,4-D followed by Ethrel a week later. Ortho spray sticker was used to insure coverage and 15 gal solution was applied per tree. The pull force required for detachment of fruit from stems was measured on 30-fruit samples with a Chatillion tensiometer at various intervals following the initial treatment as previously reported (8). Ethrel used in these experiments was of the AmChem 68-240 formulation containing 90% 2-chloroethylphosphonic acid (2).

**Results**

Prior application of Alar at 2,000 ppm did not alter subsequent abscission of leaf explants in response to Ethrel administered 24 hr later (Table 1). Explants treated with Alar alone at 1,000 or 2,000 ppm abscised at a rate close to that of the controls, while Ethrel-treated explants exhibited higher rates of abscission (Table 1). However, a mixture of Alar and Ethrel caused higher abscission rate in leaf explants than obtained with either chemical alone.

Abscission was retarded by 2,4-D in both leaf and fruit explants (Table 2). 2,4-D also counteracted the abscission-accelerating activity of Ethrel. The extent of 2,4-D counteraction of abscission was apparently greater in leaf than in fruit explants as shown by the difference in

| Treatment | 2% cumulative abscission
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial</td>
<td>After 24 hr</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
<td>48 hr</td>
<td>72 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000 ppm Alar water</td>
<td>Distilled water</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,000 ppm Alar water</td>
<td>Distilled water</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>56.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,000 ppm Alar 400 ppm Ethrel</td>
<td>400 ppm Ethrel</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distilled water 400 ppm Ethrel</td>
<td>Distilled water</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,000 ppm Alar &amp; 400 ppm Ethrel mixture</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distilled water</td>
<td>Distilled water</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>53.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*30 leaf explants were used in each treatment.
Table 2. Effect of 2,4-D (2 X 10^-4M) and Ethrel (3.5 X 10^-3M) on abscission rates in 'Pineapple' orange leaf and fruit explants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>% cumulative abscission after initial treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leaf explants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>24.00 48.00 72.00 96.00 120.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4-D + Ethrel</td>
<td>0.00 30.00 67.70 86.70 90.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4-D + H2O</td>
<td>0.00 0.00 6.70 36.70 43.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethrel</td>
<td>0.00 50.00 80.00 93.30 93.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit explants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>24.00 48.00 72.00 96.00 120.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4-D + Ethrel</td>
<td>0.00 30.00 67.70 86.70 90.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4-D + H2O</td>
<td>0.00 0.00 6.70 36.70 43.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethrel</td>
<td>75.00 95.00 100.00 100.00 100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Fruit explants were administered 2,4-D and Ethrel through the stem, each for 12 hr. Leaf explants were administered the chemicals by applying 4 μl/explant.

Results of field application of 2,4-D followed by Ethrel are shown in Table 3. Ethrel alone hastened fruit abscission as indicated by the drop in pull force by 60.0% of the initial value within 2 weeks after spraying. This was accompanied by an estimated 5% leaf drop, but there was no sign of any rind damage on fruit. On the other hand, prior application of 2,4-D to 'Pineapple' orange trees negated the fruit loosening effect of Ethrel without reducing defoliation.

**Discussion**

Despite the many reported effects of Alar on deciduous fruit trees (3, 4, 5), it has been shown to be virtually ineffective in retarding growth in citrus. Hield et al. (9) reported that Alar reduced abscission and delayed Ethrel-accelerated abscission in citrus for as long as 30 days. In the present work, Alar applied separately proved virtually inactive in hastening or delaying abscission of leaf explants. It also did not seem to interfere with the action of Ethrel on abscission of leaf explants. However, it caused some acceleration of abscission when combined with Ethrel. Thus, the possibility of using Alar on citrus for modification of Ethrel's excessive defoliating action seemed unproductive and was ruled out.

Retardation of abscission in citrus leaf and fruit explants by the synthetic auxin 2,4-D has been reported (10). It has also been shown to counteract the abscission-accelerating activity of ethylene (11). The application of 2,4-D to fruit and leaf explants resulted in retardation of their abscission, but fruit explants were more responsive to Ethrel than were leaf explants. Thus, it appeared that 2,4-D may have been more effective on leaf than on fruit explants. This may have been partly due to differences in physiological age of fruit and leaves from which the explants were excised. Preceding field application of Ethrel with 2,4-D did not promote the desirable preferential abscission of fruit. However, the magnitude of defoliation caused by Ethrel or by Ethrel preceded by 2,4-D seemed to be smaller than previously observed. This may have been partly due to differences in physiological age of fruit and leaves from which the explants were excised. Preceding field application of Ethrel with 2,4-D did not promote the desirable preferential abscission of fruit. However, the magnitude of defoliation caused by Ethrel or by Ethrel preceded by 2,4-D seemed to be smaller than previously observed. This may have been partly due to the formulation of Ethrel applied. In this study, the Ethrel used was of the AmChem 68-240 formulation containing 90% 2-chloroethylphosphonic acid. In previous but unreported experiments on 'Hamlin' orange, the AmChem 66-329 formulation containing the acid, ester, and anhydride was used and resulted in heavy defoliation of branches.

The mechanism of action of 2,4-D in delaying abscission is not known. Its stimulation of ethylene production (1) does not explain its abscission-retarding activity. However, it seems to
retard or reverse some of the catabolic processes associated with senescence (13) which precedes abscission.

Presently it is not yet feasible to chemically induce and promote fruit abscission without causing some degree of fruit and/or leaf damage. It is important to know the immediate as well as the short and long-range effects of a chemical treatment aimed at fruit loosening to facilitate mechanical harvesting in order to determine the true economical value of an abscission promoting chemical.
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ABSTRACT

A comparison of peel oil content was made on 34 'Valencia' budwood selections budded to one type of rootstock. A significant difference in oil content was found. Oil content ranged from 11.1 to 15.7 pounds per ton of fruit. The best selection gave an oil yield of 4.6 pounds greater than the poorest selection which possibly indicates the potential of budwood relation. A similar investigation on the influence of 19 different rootstocks was conducted which also showed differences of a significant magnitude. Some rootstocks tended to suppress oil yields while others increased it. Rootstocks did not appear to offer the potential for increased oil yields as did budwood selections.

When fruit yield and peel oil per fruit were jointly considered, it was calculated that 1.27 pounds of peel oil per tree or 111 pounds of oil per acre of trees could be recovered from the best 'Valencia' selection on 6-year-old Indian River trees.

By analyzing peel discs removed from differ-