Observed Directional Wave Spectra During a Frontal Passage
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ABSTRACT


This paper qualitatively discusses the changes in the directional wave field as the result of an atmospheric cold front passage. The directional wave data were measured using a 3-m discus buoy in the north-eastern Gulf of Mexico using a Longuet-Higgins technique with 46 frequency bands (0.0325 to 0.485 Hz).

Changes in the directional wave spectra were examined during a 4-day period that included a major wind shift under both quasi-steady and nonsteady wind fields. A procedure of combining the wave-energy into four directional quadrants, in lieu of individual mean directions, was examined as an analytical time-series technique for directional wave spectra. The lag time between a wind speed increase and a corresponding increase in wave-energy was shown to be a function of the existing sea state with shorter lag times for a higher sea condition. A numerical model analysis of VAN VLEIDEN and HOLTHUYSEN (1988) indicated that after a major wind shift the wave-energy would not only move to lower frequencies, but would also rotate to realign with the direction of the older wind field. The conclusions of VAN VLEIDEN and HOLTHUYSEN (1988) were supported by direct observations of pre- and post-frontal wave fields. Hourly, polar contoured, directional wave spectra plots show a narrow frequency band energy bridge between the two wave fields. The development of an energy bridge is shown to correspond to a change in the decay rate of the old wave field.
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INTRODUCTION

Wave field response to changes in the wind field is of great interest to both the oceanographic and engineering communities. An understanding of the energy flux during dynamic wind conditions is critical to understanding the nonlinear processes occurring during wave field evolution. One such dynamic wind condition, where winds undergo both magnitude and directional changes, occurs during passage of atmospheric fronts and results in the decay of one wave field and the generation of another. There have only been few direct observations of wave field response to rapid wind changes using field data (ALLENDER et al., 1983; YOUNG et al., 1987; MASON, 1990; and JACKSON and JENSEN, 1995). Much of the current effort has focused on the use of simulation models, such as the EXACT-NL (YOUNG et al., 1987) and the 3G-WAM models (VAN VLEIDEN and HOLTHUYSEN, 1993). These models allow relatively simple changes in environmental inputs, i.e. a slowly varying wind speed and/or direction. However, frontal passages do not exhibit monotonically changing wind fields; their time histories are typically complex. Changes in wind direction do not back or veer smoothly nor simply change in magnitude from pre-frontal to post-frontal conditions. Frontal passages, especially cold fronts, move rapidly and typically have unsteady winds (HSU, 1988). Thus, if models are to be used to simulate real wave data, the inputs of wind speed and direction should be updated continuously. A continuously updated wind field may be impractical for many applications; thus, a better understanding of the physics between the wind and wave fields during dynamic events may lead to the improvement of these models. JACKSON and JENSEN (1995) specifically discuss the need to improve the wave models’ implementation of the physics of nonlinear wave-wave interaction. The observational nature of our paper is meant to point out certain aspects of the wind-wave dynamics occurring during rapid wind shifts.

Historic in situ buoy measurements have been insufficient in detail in the higher-frequency bands to examine the short-term effects of frontal passages. What has been done in the past using large 10- or 12-m buoys with a substantial battery array can be done today with a solar-powered 3-m or smaller discus buoy. The reduction in size and mass of the buoy has resulted in improved surface-following characteristics, especially with respect to the higher-frequency components. This has allowed the energy in the higher frequencies to be better measured, thus expanding the data set into that part...
of the wave spectra that is needed for an analysis of directional wave spectra changes during a major wind shift.

A National Data Buoy Center (NDBC) 3-meter discus buoy, located at National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA) Buoy Station 42016 (30.08°N; 88.18°W) in the northeast Gulf of Mexico, was established in December 1993 and remained on station until early 1995 (Figure 1). The station was in approximately 30 m of water, and the distance offshore was approximately 37 km (~20 nm) from the entrance to Mobile Bay, Alabama. This station provided the platform from which all observations were made.

**DATA MEASUREMENTS AND ANALYSIS**

Directional wave spectra were computed from the nondirectional energy spectra, represented by a sea-surface elevation auto-spectrum, $C_{111}$, (i.e. the spectral variance based on the linear theory spectral components of the wave height for individual frequency bins) and a corresponding time series of the direction of the sea-surface tilt. The sea-surface tilt was used to develop a parametric directional spreading function, $D(f, \theta)$, where $f$ was the center of a frequency band, and $\theta$ was a mean direction.

A standard cosine-2s parametric model (Longuet-Higgins et al., 1963) was used as the basis for $D(f, \theta)$ and produced an estimate of the angular width of the energy within each frequency band. The cosine-2s model allowed only one principle direction per frequency band of wave-energy. The drawback was that any energy present from two distinct directions, contained within the same frequency band, would be assigned to a third direction between the two actual directions (Young, 1994). This would have been a problem if the wave fields had overlapped in f-space; but we were fortunate that this was not the case. In further support of using the cosine-2s energy distribution model, Quanduo and Komen (1993) analyzed the correlation between the cosine-2s model and the output from 3G-WAM during a 60° wind-shift episode. Quanduo and Komen (1993) found that the high correlation, 0.97, between the parametric cosine-2s model and the 3G-WAM model output was due to the fact the most of the energy was located in the mean wave-direction. Therefore, in our case, since the "old" wave-energy (pre-wind shift) and the "new" wave-energy (post-wind shift) were widely separated in both f-space and $\theta$-space, the authors felt justified in the use of the cosine-2s energy distribution model.

NDBC's Waters Processing Module (WPM) measures vertical acceleration, which is converted using linear wave theory to $C_{111}$, north–south, and east–west buoy tilts. Orthogonal buoy tilts are converted to water-surface slopes. Estimates of the directional wave spectra can be obtained using the method of Longuet-Higgins et al. (1963). A complete description of the basic theory of Longuet-Higgins et al. (1963), and how it is currently implemented on buoys, can be found in Steele et al. (1982, 1985) or Tucker (1989).

NDBC's estimations of wave parameters ($C_{111}$) and parameters for estimating $D(f, \theta)$ are based upon 4096 data points sampled over 40-minutes, processed, and transmitted to shore hourly. The full data set (4,096 data points) is used to estimate the lower thirteen frequencies at a resolution of
of energy in time: 0.0325 to 0.08 Hz, 0.08 to 0.18 Hz, 0.18 to 0.22 Hz, 0.22 to 0.30 Hz, and 0.30 to 0.485 Hz. The bin boundaries were qualitatively selected by examining various one-dimensional spectra of CIH(f) for changes during the frontal passage. Bin 1 (0.0325 to 0.08 Hz) was chosen to describe the swell wave field, which was not locally generated and was not considered in our analysis.

Bin 4 (0.22 to 0.30 Hz) was selected for use as a wave-energy tracer bin. Several authors (Günther et al., 1981; Allender et al., 1983; Young et al., 1987; Acinas, 1988; Masson, 1990) have indicated that higher-frequency components of the wave field respond quicker to changes in the wind field than the lower frequency wave components. Additionally, there was very little energy present in the upper "tail" of the wave spectra (i.e., bin 5). The energy contained in bin 4 had a higher degree of what can be described as wave-energy momentum. That is, the energy was sufficient to resist responding to the higher frequency variability of the wind field, while responding to the wind field's general trends. Maintaining bin 5 separate from bin 4 provided the information on the initial transfer of energy from the wind field into the wave field. Energy in bin 4 was the result of energy shifting down from higher frequencies (bin 5) and possibly up from lower frequencies (bin 3). This type of energy transfer has been proposed by Young et al. (1987). Bin 4 then contained information from the higher frequency, younger wave components, as well as mid-range frequency, possibly older, wave components.

Reasoning similar to that used in the formation of the bins was used to initially establish four directional quadrants to partition the wave-energy. The mean direction for each of the bins was expected to display an unnecessary amount of variability for our analysis. Also, a simple analysis of old versus new wave-energy hid some of the information on how the energy was transferred between the old to the new wave fields. Thus, the four quadrant system was employed to allow the directionality of the energy field to be better followed in time.

**PHYSICAL SETTING**

A single Continental-Polar cold front passage occurring in early March 1994, was selected for analysis. The complete event took nearly a week, during which the wave field was under a constant state of adjustment. Figures 2(a) and (b)
show the wind, significant wave height, barometric pressure, air, and sea temperatures from NOAA Buoy 42016 for 6½ days centered on the post-frontal time period.

The northeast region of the Gulf of Mexico can be characterized by seasonal wind fields: during the fall and winter, the winds are predominately northern; however, with north-west or southeast winds also occurring (Florida A&M University, 1988). The winter months (December to February) are marked by frequent frontal passages on time scales of less than one week (Dimego et al., 1976; Roberts et al., 1978; Henry, 1979). Winds from the north to northeast are higher in magnitude than winds from other directions during this season in the northeast Gulf of Mexico (Thompson and Leming, 1978; Schroeder and Wiseman, 1985). Kelly (1991) reported during the fall and winter seasons 10-meter high wind speeds of ~10 m/sec; he used data from NOAA Buoy 42015, 5 km due north of NOAA Buoy 42016.

Murch can be considered a transition month between the winter and spring seasons, characterized by an increased percentage of southeast winds between fronts and a decrease in the number of fronts (Dimego et al., 1976; Henry, 1979; Schroeder and Wiseman, 1985). The study area at the time of our observation was expected to have east to south winds, with periodic northerly winds as the result of frontal passages. Based upon this characteristic i.e. large directional wind shifts, the previous selection of four directional quadrants (north, east, south, and west) was expected to provide insight in analyzing the directionality of the wave-energy. The meteorological naming convention was used for waves: a north wave moves from the north to the south. The quadrants were established for the total energy contained in bins 2 through 6 with the north quadrant containing wind-wave-energy with a mean wave direction from 315° to 045°. East, south, and west quadrants each contained the successive 90° sectors.

The general surface width of a cold front is on the order of 500 km. The typical speed of advance of this type of front is on the order of 10 to 15 m/sec. The passage of a cold front is marked by rapidly falling air temperature, rapidly rising barometric pressure, and veering anticyclonic shift in the wind field (Williams et al., 1973; Cole, 1980; Kotsch, 1983; Hs., 1988). The actual passage of a cold front does not occur instantaneously. It takes a finite period of time for the atmospheric parameters to shift from the pre-frontal values to the post-frontal values. This period of adjustment, from pre-frontal to post-frontal atmospheric conditions is expected to be on the order of 10 hours. Our front is typical in those regards as shown in Figure 2.

**FRONTAL PASSAGE PERIODS**

The frontal passage event was partitioned into four periods: (1) prevailing seasonal conditions, (2) pre-frontal passage, (3) frontal passage, and (4) post-frontal passage. The partitioning of the periods was based upon the barometric pressure trends, the difference between the air and sea temperatures, and the hourly wind directions (Figure 2).

The prevailing seasonal conditions existed until March 8 at 1800 UTC (08/1800). The wind speed (U), the wind speed at a height of 5 m) was fairly steady with a mean and standard deviation of 2.6 ± 0.6 m/sec, and a direction of 127° ± 19°. The air temperature was approximately equal to the seasurface temperature (SST); neutral atmospheric conditions were assumed to have existed. The surface barometric pressure was fairly steady. The significant wave height (Hs) was approximately 0.5 m from the southwest to south direction. Based upon an assumed Rayleigh distribution to the wave heights, and using linear wave theory, the conversion between spectral wave-energy and Hs is (USACE, 1984):

\[ H_s \approx 4 \frac{1}{5} C(1/e^5) \frac{|f|}{5^5}. \]  

Where the limits of integration were 0.0325 Hz to 0.485 Hz dictated by the buoy system used and C(1/e^5) was centered on the frequency component f.

The pre-frontal passage period was from 08/1800 to 09/1800. Air temperature and SST remained fairly coupled, with air temperature slightly greater than SST. Surface pressure started to drop at a rate of approximately 1 kPa/hr to a low that occurred at the end of the period. U, increased unsteadily from 4 to 10 m/sec. The wind direction was from the east-southeast. Significant wave height for this period was approximately 1 m.

The frontal passage period was from 09/1800 to 10/0100. The drop of surface pressure had stopped and showed a slight rise at the end of the period. Also at the end of the period, air temperature and SST were separated by ~1°C. Implied that the cold air behind the front had not yet reached NOAA Buoy 42016. The wind direction veered to the southeast to the southwest. The wind turbulence caused by the constantly changing wind speed tended to keep the lower air column well-mixed. The Hs increased to a maximum of ~1.8 m.

The post-frontal period was from 10/0100 to 12/2000. Figure 1 shows the location of the front at 10/0300, several hours after the front had begun to pass the location of NOAA Buoy 42016. This period was marked by increasing surface pressure. Air temperature and SST had completely uncoupled; air temperature experienced a very sharp decrease to a minimum of ~6°C, followed by a gradual rise to its pre-frontal value after several days. Sea surface temperature showed a slight decrease due to the presence of the cold air mass overlying the region. From 10/0600 until 11/1800 the average wind was northerly at 8.4 ± 1.9 m/sec. This period also contained the peak wave-energy equivalent to a surface height variance of 0.26 m² (Hs ~ 2.1 m). After the occurrence of the peak wave-energy, wave-energy decayed to the prevailing seasonal condition level equivalent to ~0.05 m².

Conditions returned to the pre-frontal seasonal conditions at ~12/1200. Air temperature and SST were nearly the same; neutral atmospheric conditions were returning. U, remained steady at ~7 m/sec to 13/0000, after which it dropped to near 4 m/sec. The wind direction veered to the east, became weak and variable, and showed some possible sea-breeze components. During the veering period, the wave-energy increased from the low to a peak equivalent to 0.10 m², corresponding to an Hs of 1.26 m. The barometric pressure was higher, but dropped to the value prior to 08/1800.
**DIRECTIONAL WAVE FIELDS**

During the pre-frontal passage period (08/1800 to 09/1800), the higher frequency bins responded to wind speed changes with less time lag in the uptake or transfer of the wind-wave-energy than lower frequency bins (Figure 3). At 09/0000, the wind was 3.2 m/sec at 130°; at 09/0800, the wind magnitude increased to a maximum of 8.4 m/sec, with a minor wind shift occurring of less than 30°. This was over a sixfold increase in wind-energy in eight hours. Wave-energy in bin 5 (frequencies greater than 0.30 Hz) increased at 09/0300, lagging the wind increase by three hours. Wave-energy in bin 4 (0.22 to 0.30 Hz) showed a sharp increase starting at 09/0600. Wave-energy in bin 3 (0.18 to 0.22 Hz) increased at 09/0900. The wave-energy in bin 2 (0.08 to 0.18 Hz) showed an increase at 09/1000. While the various frequency bins were responding to the initial wind-speed increase, at 09/0800 there was a substantial decrease in wind-energy, dropping from ~8 m/sec to ~3 m/sec in two hours. Winds were low in magnitude until 09/1300, wind speed then increased to a pre-frontal maximum, occurring at 09/1700. The lag between wind speed changes and wave field responses for bins 2 through 5 were shorter than those noted for the first wind-speed increase.

During the frontal passage period (09/1800 to 10/0100), the seas were 100-percent southerly (Figure 4). This period contained the peak of the south wave-energy field, which occurred at 09/2300. The wave-energy was principally contained in the lowest wave-energy bin, bin 2. The sharp decrease in wind speed that occurred at 09/2200 did not result in a decrease in the wave-energy field until 10/0000.

The post-frontal period (10/0200 to 12/1200) was characterized by a steady, generally northerly wind direction, and a slowly decreasing wind speed. The wave field had two principal components: the old south wave field and the new northwest wave field. The new wave field energy was split between the north and west quadrants (Figure 4). The westerly wave field was the first to develop as the result of the wind field veering to a fairly steady north-northwest direction. The energy in the south quadrant initially decayed under the influence of the north-northwest wind field. At 10/0200 the majority of the wave-energy was still contained in the decaying
south quadrant wave field. However, at 10/0300 there was a 
reduction in the rate of decay of the south quadrant wave-
energy. This was followed by an increase in the energy of 
the south quadrant wave field at 10/0700, while still under 
the influence of a north-northwest wind field. After 10/0700, 
the predominant direction of the wave field was from the north, 
with some energy contained in the western quadrant.

**DISCUSSION**

There were two lag-time scales of interest in this study: (1) 
the time needed for energy to appear in a frequency region 
after an increase or decrease in the wind-energy level, and 
(2) the time needed for energy to decay in the old direction 
after a wind shift. The lag times that occurred after 09/0800 
were considered representative for the time necessary for the 
uptake of energy from the wind field into the wave-energy 
field. Clearly, the choice of frequency boundaries for the 
energy bins biased the lag time for each bin; additional bins 
would have shown intermediate lag times. The energy in bin 
5 was quickly transferred to other lower frequencies. The ini-
tial time lag for energy uptake was 3 hours for bin 5. This 
was followed by lags of 6, 9, and 10 hours for bins 4, 3, and 
2, respectively. Though the wind magnitude fluctuated be-
 tween 09/0800 and 09/1700, the magnitudes at 09/0700 and 
09/1000 were nearly equal, as were they at 09/0800 and 
09/0900. The sampling interval of one hour was the most re-
strictive agent in resolving and quantifying theses time lag 
values.

Gunther et al. (1981) examined the response of the wave 
field to changing wind directions using the JONSWAP model. 
They found that the momentum added by the new wind di-
rection was transferred by nonlinear interactions to lower fre-
quencies. The Van der Heijden and Houthuijzen (1988) model 
study using EXACT-NL showed that energy from the new 
wave field would be siphoned off into the old wave field, 
thereby slowing down the rate of decay of the old wave field. 
This enhancement of the old wave field by the new wave field 
is documented in our data (Figure 4). The southerly wave 
field peaked at 09/2300, as soon as the winds shifted it be-
came the "old" wave field. The old wave field decayed to a 
local minimum at 10/0600, but gained energy the next hour, 
followed by an unsteady decay until 11/1000. The time need-
ed for the energy to decay or transit out of the region in the 
old direction was, thus, substantially altered.

The time series of the wind (Figure 2a) showed that during 
the frontal passage, the changes in both the magnitude and 
direction of the wind were not smooth in time. The conditions 
that existed during the frontal passage, especially during the 
pot-frontal period, resulted in a very broad spectra in 0-
space, shown in the polar contour plots (Figures 5a-9a), and 
spectrally wide in f-space, shown in the heave spectra plots 
(Figures 5b-9b). During the post-frontal and frontal periods, 
wind strength generally increased, but over the sampling pe-
riods of one hour fluctuations occurred. A single frequency 
bond, as opposed to the frequency bins, would not have pro-
vided as insightful a picture of the time history of the wave-
energy field, in terms of the lag response of the high-, mid-
and lower-frequency regions of the spectra. A single frequen-
cy would have shown a more rapid response to fluctuations 
in wind direction and speed; but this rapid response would 
mask out the general trend of the changes in wave-energy. 
The broader bin averaged out the rapid fluctuations and 
clearly showed the wave-energy trends. Additionally, bins 
have more degrees of freedom than the individual frequency 
bands, and the confidence limits around their values are im-
proved. Further, the use of a "mid-range" frequency bin, in-
stead of the highest frequency bin, as the wind-energy tracer, 
was supported by the energy bin time series (Figure 3). The 
gradiual increase in wind-energy up to 09/0900 and the very 
rapid increase in wind-energy that occurred at 09/1300 and 
10/0200 were accompanied by associated visible rises in bin 
4. The rises in bin 5 were not sufficiently sustained to cor-
relate well with the wind-speed changes. The wave-energy in 
bin 4 (0.22 to 0.30 Hz) provided the best wind-energy tracer

---

**Figure 5.** Hourly directional wave data for March 10, 1994, 0300 UTC. (a) Polar contour plot using 8 equally spaced contour intervals relative to this hour’s peak energy. (b) Non-directional heave spectra. Bin division is discussed within the text.
bin overall for use in understanding the hourly changes in the wave spectra. It followed increases and trends of wind-speed changes more closely than bin 5. The wave-energy in bin 5 rapidly attained saturation, and thus, its energy was dissipated down to bin 4.

Examination of binned wave-energy and directional time series (Figures 3 and 4), hourly directional wave contour and nondirectional energy spectra (i.e. heave) plots (Figures 5 through 9) provided evidence that energy was physically transferred from the north and west quadrants into the south quadrant. Between 10/0100 and 10/0300, the decay of the old south wave field was fairly steep. From 10/0300 to 10/0600, the rate of decay of this wave field was reduced. The decay rate changed signs (i.e. it became a rate of increasing energy) between 10/0600 and 10/0700. The north-northwest wind field was supporting a southerly wave field.

The energy increased in the new wave field after the wind shift at 10/0200, until the period of maximum wave-energy at 10/0500. During that period, wave-energy in bin 4 increased; the new wave field at 10/0300 was smaller than the old wave field (Figures 4 and 5). One hour later, the energy contained in bin 3 had increased, while the energy in bin 4 had decreased (Figures 3 and 6), implying a transfer to a lower frequency. The peak of the spectra in the old wave field energy (bin 2) remained centered at a fairly constant frequency (Figures 5 and 6). The new wave field was increasing in energy (Figure 4), with the energy moving towards lower frequencies (Figure 3). By 10/0500, the new wave field was larger than the old wave field (Figures 4 and 7). The old wave field remained more focused in its direction, i.e. narrower in 8-space, than the new wave field from the northwest (Figures 5a, 6a, and 7a).
At 10/0600, the wave field was strongly bimodal (Figure 8). The values of the local spectral peaks for the old and new wave fields were distinct. At 10/0700, the heave spectra had dramatically changed (Figure 9b), the old wave field spectral peak increased by nearly a factor of two and had narrowed in f-space, while the new wave field spectral peak had decreased by a factor of two and moved back to a higher frequency. This increase of energy in the old wave field (southerly) and decrease in the new wave field (northwesterly) was done under the forcing of a fairly directionally stable northeast wind field (Figure 4).

The support of the southerly wave field appears not to have been continuous. The rate of decay of the south energy had two rate changes or “hinge” points (Figure 4), one at 10/0300 and the other at 10/0600. The polar contour plot for 10/0400 (Figure 6a) showed a narrow energy bridge connecting the old and new wave fields both in frequency and in direction. While at 10/0600 (Figure 8a), there was no connecting bridge as much as there was an overlap between the old and new wave fields directionally. The existence of overlaps or bridges corresponded well with the changes in the decay rate of the old south wave field and may provide a physical insight into the siphoning-off of energy discussed by Van Vlodd and Holthuisen (1988). There was an increase in energy of the spectral peak at 10/0700, one hour after a spectral bridge existed. The correlation between the existence of an energy bridge and the enhancement of the southerly or old wave field supported the conclusions of Van Vlodd and Holthuisen (1988), Young et al. (1987), and Quanduo and Komen (1993). That is, nonlinear wave-wave interactions tend to slow down the turning rate of the wave field.

It should be noted that the energy bridge was not an artifact of the cosine-2s directional energy spreading model. The energy bridge exists at frequencies determined by time series analysis of the buoy’s acceleration. Its existence and correlation with a noticeable change in the rate of decay of the southern energy wave field support the assertion that the energy bridge played a key role in the energy distribution of the wave field.

The wave data that was available for this study was the hourly transmitted NDBC data. As discussed previously, this represented a merging of 40-minute, 20-minute, and 10-minute sampling periods for the low-, mid-, and high-frequency portions of the wave spectrum. The discussed lag times and jumps in energy levels were likely actual wave field phenomenon. Clearly, though, there were processes that were taking place at periods of less than an hour.

### SUMMARY

Between 1800 UTC, March 8, 1994, and 1200 UTC, March 12, 1994 a cold front passage occurred in the northeast Gulf of Mexico containing a large direction and magnitude change in wind. The wave field’s response to this event has been shown to contain some expected and unexpected results. One expected outcome was the shorter time lag between a change in the magnitude of the wind field and a corresponding change in the wave-energy field for higher frequency waves than for lower frequency waves. As projected by the work of Van Vlodd and Holthuisen (1988) and Young et al. (1987), under certain conditions a rapidly changing wind field direction and increase in wind speed can cause the formation of a new, directionally different, wave field. However, unexpectedly, there did exist some apparent exchange of energy between these two wave fields via a mid-range frequency energy bridge. The use of broader frequency bins vice typical frequency bands reduced the variability of the data and allowed this process to be identified.

Wave-wave interactions tend to resist directionally uncoupled energy fields as noted in the works of Van Vlodd and Holthuisen (1988), Young et al. (1987), and Quanduo and Komen (1993). The two directionally different wave-energy fields existed during the post-frontal period as the result of 135° wind shift. Clearly shown with two polar contour...
plots of directional wave spectra, a wave-energy bridge was created between the two fields. This bridging occurred either as a distinct (from the old and new wave fields) energy bridge or as an extension of a new wave field in \( \theta \)-space. This bridge formation was linked with an altered decay rate of the old wave field.

The technique used in establishing energy quadrants and mapping out a time series of their changes proved to be a valuable method for the analysis of directional wave fields. While heave spectra and polar contour plots of directional wave spectra provided insight for the finer details of the analysis, they did not provide as useful a tool as a time-series plot of the energies. The study region’s seasonal wind field pattern was fortuitous in supporting the use of a simple four-quadrant system to examine the changes of the wave field energy in \( \theta \)-space. Other geographical settings may not allow such a simple system.

A quantitative analysis of the wind fields and the wave fields during a frontal passage will require a finer resolution in time. Future plans will focus on using available time-series records of buoy motion and wind data to examine this data set and others with wave spectra wind averages based upon multiple estimates per hour. Other techniques that allow bi-modal energy spreading functions will be explored in analysis of wave-energy response to major wind shifts.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The work presented here was supported by the National Weather Service National Data Buoy Center, which provided the data used in this analysis, and the University of Southern Mississippi, Institute of Marine Sciences (previously the Center for Marine Science). We wish to thank Mr. D. Henderson, Mr. K. Steele, Dr. C.-C. Teng, and Dr. D. Wang for their support and assistance in the collection and discussion of the data used. Additionally, the two journal reviewers Dr. S. DiMarco and Dr. G. Stone provided constructive reviews of this manuscript.

**LITERATURE CITED**


QUANDUEO, G. and KOMEN, G., 1993. Directional response of ocean


