Review of the genus *Microchilus* Blanchard
(Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae: Rutelinae: Geniatini)

Mary Liz Jameson
University of Nebraska State Museum
Division of Entomology
W 436 Nebraska Hall
Lincoln, NE 68588-0514 U.S.A.

Date of Issue: 28 January 2008
Mary Liz Jameson
Review of the genus *Microchilus* Blanchard
(Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae: Rutelinae: Geniatini)
Insecta Mundi 0025: 1-14

Published in 2008 by
Center for Systematic Entomology, Inc.
P. O. Box 147100
Gainesville, FL 32614-7100 U. S. A.
http://www.centerforsystematicentomology.org/

**Insecta Mundi** is a journal primarily devoted to insect systematics, but articles can be published on any non-marine arthropod taxon. Manuscripts considered for publication include, but are not limited to, systematic or taxonomic studies, revisions, nomenclatural changes, faunal studies, book reviews, phylogenetic analyses, biological or behavioral studies, etc. **Insecta Mundi** is widely distributed, and referenced or abstracted by several sources including the Zoological Record, CAB Abstracts, etc.

As of 2007, **Insecta Mundi** is published irregularly throughout the year, not as a quarterly issues. As manuscripts are completed they are published and given an individual number. Manuscripts must be peer reviewed prior to submission, after which they are again reviewed by the editorial board to insure quality. One author of each submitted manuscript must be a current member of the Center for Systematic Entomology.

**Managing editor:** Paul E. Skelley, e-mail: skellep@doacs.state.fl.us

**Production editor:** Michael C. Thomas, e-mail: thomasm@doacs.state.fl.us

**Editorial board:** J. H. Frank, M. J. Paulsen

**Printed copies deposited in libraries of:**
CSIRO, Canberra, ACT, Australia
Museu de Zoologia, São Paulo, Brazil
Agriculture and Agrifood Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada
The Natural History Museum, London, England
Muzeum I Instytut Zoologii Pan, Warsaw, Poland
National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan
California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco, CA, USA
Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Gainesville, FL, USA
Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago, IL, USA
National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC, USA

**Electronic copies in PDF format:**
Printed CD mailed to all members at end of year.
Florida Center for Library Automation: purl.fcla.edu/fcla/insectamundi

**Author instructions** available on the Insecta Mundi page at:
http://www.centerforsystematicentomology.org/insectamundi/

ISSN 0749-6737
Review of the genus *Microchilus* Blanchard  
(Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae: Rutelinae: Geniatini)

Mary Liz Jameson  
University of Nebraska State Museum  
Division of Entomology  
W 436 Nebraska Hall  
Lincoln, NE 68588-0514 U.S.A.  
mjameson1@unl.edu

Abstract: The Brazilian scarab beetle genus *Microchilus* Blanchard (Rutelinae: Geniatini) is reviewed. Based on examination of type specimens, *Microchilus beckeri* Martínez is transferred to the genus *Leucothyreus* becoming *L. beckeri* (Martínez), new combination; *Leucothyreus bucki* Machatschke is a new junior synonym of *L. beckeri* (Martínez). *Microchilus* is limited to two species, *M. beckeri* (Martínez) and a new species, *Microchilus rodmani* Jameson, here described. Characters that circumscribe the genus, biology, and species distribution are discussed. A key to the species is provided.
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Introduction

The genus *Microchilus* Blanchard is one of thirteen genera in the leaf chafer tribe Geniatini (Scarabaeidae: Rutelinae), an exclusively New World taxon (Jameson and Hawkins 2005). This research results from a recent review of the tribe Geniatini (Jameson and Hawkins 2005) that provided a foundation for continued revisionary research in this group. Recent synthetic or monographic research on the Geniatini includes a revision of the genus *Trizogeniates* Ohaus (Villatoro 2002), synopsis of the genus *Geniatosoma* Costa Lima (Lacroix 2000), and description of *Xenogeniates* Villatoro and Jameson (Villatoro and Jameson 2001). Here I provide a synopsis of the obscure geniatine genus *Microchilus*.

The genus *Microchilus* currently includes two species that occur in southern Brazil: *M. lineatus* Blanchard (type species) and *M. beckeri* Martínez. The generic name *Microchilus* is derived from the Greek words “mikros” (meaning small) and “cheilos” or “chilos” (meaning lip), in reference to the short, wide mentum. The characteristic that is most notable in the genus and which makes it unusual within the Rutelinae is the internal and external claws are incised (Fig. 11). This character state is shared with members of the scarab subfamilies Melolonthinae and Phaenomeridinae, and it could make phylogenetic analyses as well as taxonomic identification difficult. It is possible that this character is indicative of a phylogenetic relationship between these groups, but this remains to be elucidated with higher-level phylogenetic analyses.

In addition to the incised claws, several other characters serve to diagnose species of *Microchilus* as members of the tribe Geniatini: 1) labrum vertically and ventrally produced with respect to the clypeus (Fig. 8; also see Fig. 15-16 in Jameson and Hawkins 2005) and more or less fused to the clypeus, 2) protarsomeres of males and females dorsoventrally flattened, enlarged, and densely pilose ventrally (Fig. 15-16, 19-20; also see Fig. 30-31, 33-34 in Jameson and Hawkins 2005), 3) mentum and labrum each with median, apical tooth (Fig. 8-9; also see Fig. 16 in Jameson and Hawkins 2005), and 4) margin of elytra with membranous border (see Fig. 47 in Jameson and Hawkins 2005).

Species of *Microchilus* are among the smallest members of the Geniatini (8.0 mm or less in length). In overall *gestalt*, they are most similar to small species of *Bolax* Fischer von Waldheim due to their small eyes and form of the fifth protarsomere that is dorsoventrally flattened and setose ventrally (Figs. 15-16,
However, species of *Microchilus* are clearly separated from species of *Bolax* by the following characters: antennal club of male longer than antennomeres 2-7, bidentate protibia, and both claws incised on all legs.

**Materials and Methods**

Specimens for this research are deposited at the BMNH (The Natural History Museum, London, England); CASC (California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco, CA, USA); CMNC (Canadian Museum of Nature, Ottawa, Canada); UCCC (Museo de Zoologia, Universidad de Concepcion, Chile); ISNB (Institut Royal des Sciences Naturelles de Belgique, Brussels, Belgium); LACM (Los Angeles County Museum, Los Angeles, CA, USA); UNSM (University of Nebraska State Museum, Lincoln, NE, USA); MACN (Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales, Buenos Aires, Argentina); and ZMHB (Museum für Naturkunde der Humboldt Universität, Berlin, Germany).

Body measurements, puncture density, puncture size, and density of setae are based on the following standards. *Body length* was measured from the apex of the clypeus to the apex of the elytra. *Body width* was measured across the elytral humeri. *Puncture density* was considered “dense” if punctures were nearly confluent to less than two puncture diameters apart, “moderately dense” if punctures were from two to six puncture diameters apart, and “sparse” if punctures were separated by more than six puncture diameters. *Puncture size* was defined as “small” if punctures were 0.02 mm or smaller; “moderate” if 0.02-0.07 mm, “moderately large” if 0.07-0.12 mm, and “large” if 0.12 mm or larger. *Setae* were defined as “dense” if the surface was not visible through the setae, “moderately dense” if the surface was visible but with many setae, and “sparse” if there were few setae. Minute setae are defined as those that are observable at 50X or higher. The *interocular width* measures the number of transverse eye diameters that fit on the frons between the eyes. *Tarsomeres* are labeled from the base of the tarsus to the apex of the tarsus and are referred to as tarsomeres I, II, III, IV, and V (respectively).

Characters and specimens were observed with 6.3-50.0X magnification and fiber-optic illumination. A 2X objective was used to examine mouthparts (12.6-100.0X magnification). Digital images for plates (specimens and structures) were captured using the Auto-Montage imaging system by Syncroscopy. Images were edited in Adobe Photoshop CS2 (background removed, contrast manipulated, etc.).

Specimens of *Microchilus* species are not common in collections; many specimens for this research were gleaned from unidentified or unsorted material. Out of the 58 specimens from major collections that comprised this study, 43% of the specimens had never been identified. Out of the 29 specimens that were identified, 18% were incorrectly identified owing to misidentification (12%) or synonymy (6%).

Description of taxa (genus and species) follows standards adopted in Villatoro and Jameson (2001) and Villatoro (2002) for members of the tribe Geniatini. To ensure the greatest retrieval of data from now and into the future, I describe the holotype and variation as observed in the allotype and paratypes. These data together comprise the description of the species.

The phylogenetic species concept (Wheeler and Platnick 2000) was applied in this work: “A species is the smallest aggregation of (sexual) populations or (asexual) lineages diagnosable by a unique combination of character states.”

**Taxonomic History**

Blanchard (1851: 240) described the genus *Microchilus*, providing morphological details associated with mouthparts and also noting that the external claws were incised (“tarsi omnes, ungue externo fisso”). He described one species in the genus, *M. lineatus* Blanchard.

Lacordaire (1856) characterized the genus, separating it from *Bolax, Leucothyreus, Evanos* Ohaus, and *Geniates* Ohaus based on the dilated tarsomeres in both males and females and lack of a frontoclypeal suture. Lacordaire was in error with regard to the latter character. Specimens of *Microchilus* do, in fact, have a complete and evident frontoclypeal suture.

Ohaus (1908) discussed the “many inaccuracies and mistakes” in Blanchard’s generic diagnosis. He attributed these errors to the method of imbedding specimens in Canada balsam for examination under light microscope, a method that sharpened certain features but obscured others. Ohaus corrected Blanchard’s description of the maxillae in *Microchilus*, noting that these structures are more weakly...
Figure 1-7. Habitus images Microchilus species and other Geniatini. 1) Microchilus lineatus, male. 2) *M. lineatus*, female. 3) *M. rodmani*, holotype male. 4) *M. rodmani*, allotype female. 5) *Bolax foveolata* Blanchard, male. 6) *Leucothyreus beckeri*, male. 7) *Eunanus murinus* Ohaus, male. Scale line = 1.0 mm.
Geniatini in Jameson and Hawkins (2005) are: those that are easily distinguished from a phylogenetic hypothesis, I examined exemplars of several genera within the Geniatini. Genera of Geniatini, based on phylogenetic analyses, would greatly assist in circumscription of the genera. Lacking a name for the geniatine genera (Jameson and Hawkins 2005), phylogenetic characters (shared, derived characters) established justification for placement of the species in either genus. 

Martínez (1964), as part of his revision on the tribe Geniatini, described Microchilus beckeri Martínez from a unique male specimen which he compared with Microchilus lineatus. He provided a lengthy and detailed description of the species, differentiated the new species based on the form of the male genitalia, and included a drawing of the male parameres. He described the claws on all legs as being weakly subdeterminate (external claw) and simple (internal claw), thus the form of the claws was not consistent with Microchilus lineatus in which the external and internal claws are incised on all legs, but Martínez did not note this. In his discussion, Martínez stated that the specimen carried an Ohaus label with the name “Leucothyreus bucki Ohaus”. Because this name was unpublished and because he believed that Ohaus would not incorrectly identify a specimen of Microchilus as Leucothyreus (he did not elaborate on his rationale for generic placement of the species), he supposed that the specimen was incorrectly determined. He concluded that the name “L. bucki” had not been published, but it may be a name in litteris.

One year after the publication of Martínez’s new species, Machatschke published the Genera Insectorum for the orthochilous Rutelinae (Machatschke 1965). The work included only Microchilus lineatus in the genus Microchilus. It is quite possible that the Genera Insectorum went to press prior to Martínez’s publication of a new species of Microchilus. It is also possible that scientific turf battles between Martínez and Machatschke resulted in lack of communication (see Martínez 1977; Jameson and Hawkins 2005) and oversight on Machatschke’s part regarding the new species.

Before his death in 1974, Machatschke (Machatschke 1974) published descriptions of new species of Geniatini. These were species discovered by Ohaus but not yet published. In this publication Machatschke described L. bucki, noting that the species was taken from Ohaus in litteris. This was the same name that Martínez (1964) noted on the specimen he named Microchilus beckeri.

**Status of Microchilus beckeri**

The classification status of Microchilus beckeri is affected by two historical complications: 1) lack of robust discussion regarding shared characters that established generic placement of the species, and 2) synonymy of Microchilus beckeri and L. bucki as alluded to by Martínez (1964).

The proper generic assignment for Microchilus beckeri and L. bucki was an issue not well addressed by Martínez (1964) or Machatschke (1974). Martínez (1964) considered the species a member of the genus Microchilus, but Machatschke (1974) considered it a member of the genus Leucothyreus. Neither provided evidence that established justification for placement of the species in either genus.

Although research on the genera of Geniatini has laid the basic foundation for taxonomic characters for the geniatine genera (Jameson and Hawkins 2005), phylogenetic characters (shared, derived characters based on phylogenetic analyses) would greatly assist in circumscription of the genera. Lacking a phylogenetic hypothesis, I examined exemplars of several genera within the Geniatini. Genera of Geniatini that are easily distinguished from Microchilus based on taxonomic characters (see the key to genera of Geniatini in Jameson and Hawkins 2005) are: Evanos, Geniates, Geniatosoma, Heterogeniates Ohaus, Lobogeniates Ohaus, Mimogeniates Martínez, Rhizogeniates Ohaus, Trizogeniates, and Xenogeniates. This enigmatic species, “beckeri” (Fig. 6), could be keyed to the following genera or confused with the following genera: Microchilus (Figs. 1-4), Leucothyreus, Bolax (Fig. 5), or Evanus Ohaus (Fig. 7). The following discussion provides generic characters and a comparison of characters observed in “beckeri” as a means of aiding placement of the species in the genus with which it shares the most characters.

The species “beckeri” resembles Microchilus based on its small size (less than 8 mm), distribution in southern Brazil, apex of the labrum with a narrow tooth, and interocular width greater than 6 transverse eye diameters. But “beckeri” does not share the form of the claws (internal and external claws on all legs are incised in Microchilus; internal claws simple, external claws incised in “beckeri”), the form of the clypeal apex (broadly rounded in Microchilus; narrowly parabolic in “beckeri”), length of antennal club in the male (club 1.5 times length of segments 2-7 in Microchilus; club 2 times length of segments 2-7 in
beckeri”), and protibial teeth (two external teeth in Microchilus; three external teeth in “beckeri”). These characters preclude placement of “beckeri” in the genus Microchilus.

Several characters observed in “beckeri” are shared with members of the genus Eunanus: the narrowly parabolic clypeus, form of the meso- and metatibia, interocular width greater than 6 transverse eye diameters, male antennal club two times the length of segments 2-7, apex of the labrum with a narrow tooth, and small size. But “beckeri” does not share the simple claws observed in members of Eunanus nor the form of the mandible which possesses a rounded, apical tooth. These characters preclude placement of “beckeri” in the genus Eunanus.

A few species of Bolax are small (B. foveolata Blanchard, B. incogitata Dohrn, B. mutabilis Burmeister, B. vittipennis [Laporte]) and vaguely resemble “beckeri” based on size. However, “beckeri” does not share the form of the labrum (apex with a narrow tooth in Microchilus; apex with a broad tooth in Bolax), length of antennal club in the male (club 1.5 times length of segments 2-7 in Microchilus; club subequal to segments 2-7 in Bolax), form of the pronotum (lacking longitudinal constrictions in Microchilus; often with longitudinal constrictions at apex and base in Bolax). These characters preclude placement of “beckeri” in the genus Bolax.

This leads us to the genus Leucothyreus, the largest genus in the Geniatini with 164 described species. It is a heterogeneous assemblage of species, and several characters are variable within the group (perhaps indicating that the group is not monophyletic). Several characters observed in “beckeri” are shared with members of the genus Leucothyreus: the form of the claws are simple and incised on all legs; protibia with three external teeth; length of protarsomeres 2-5 greater than length of protarsomere 5;
base of pronotum not constricted; and surface of pygidium with decumbent, white setae and horizontal striae (striae weak in “beckeri”). No characters observed in “beckeri” necessarily preclude placement in the genus *Leucothyreus*, but they may be unusual for the genus *Leucothyreus*: the form of the clypeus (narrowly parabolic in “beckeri”; variable in *Leucothyreus* including rounded, parabolic, or quadrate) and interocular width (greater than 6 transverse eye diameters in “beckeri”; generally less than 5 transverse eye diameters in *Leucothyreus*). Based on the shared characters of “beckeri” and members of *Leucothyreus*, as well as the few conflicting characters that preclude placement of “beckeri” in the genus, I transfer *M. beckeri* Martínez to the genus *Leucothyreus* (*Leucothyreus beckeri* [Martínez], new combination).

Based on examination of type specimens, it is clear that *L. beckeri* and *L. bucki* are conspecific (see “Taxonomic History”). Although Martínez (1964) noted Ohaus’ label that identified the specimen as “Leucothyreus bucki”, the name was not published. Additionally, he thought that this specimen clearly represented a new member of the genus *Microchilus* rather than *Leucothyreus* and that the determination by Ohaus was in error. Machatschke (1974), in his description of *L. bucki* (a name that was in litteris by Ohaus), did not make a connection with *M. beckeri* because the two species were placed in different genera. Based on examination of type specimens for *L. beckeri* and *L. bucki*, these species are conspecific. *Leucothyreus bucki* Machatschke is herein considered a new junior synonym of *Leucothyreus beckeri* Martínez.

**Type specimen data for Leucothyreus beckeri (Martínez), 1964: 425**

*Microchilus beckeri* Martínez. NEW COMBINATION

BRAZIL: Rio Grande do Sul

*Leucothyreus bucki* Machatschke 1974: 143-146. NEW SYNONMY

Holotype male of *M. beckeri* Martínez at MACN labeled: a) abdomen, head, some mouthparts, some legs card-mounted beneath specimen, b) “BRASIL E-de R. G. do Sul Saimbi J. Becker-legit. Coll. Martínez 10-II-950” (handwritten, white label), c) “Leucothyreus bucki Ohaus Rutelidae Saimbi” (handwritten on vellum), d) “10.2.50” (handwritten on vellum), e) “HOLOTYPUS” (typeset, red paper), f) “Microchilus beckeri [male symbol] sp. nov. M. Martínez-Det. 1963 (handwritten and typeset, red paper), g) “fichado” (handwritten, white card), my determination label, “Leucothyreus bucki Machatschke”. The specimen is badly damaged. On the right side of the body, all legs are missing. On the left side, mesotarsi and metatarsi are card-mounted. The head is card-mounted and missing both antennae. Mouthparts were extracted previously (probably by Martínez) and card-mounted. Remaining on the card are one maxilla, mentum, one mandible, and the abdomen. Male genitalia are missing. Despite the poor condition of the type, enough parts remain to conclusively identify essential characters. Martínez named the species based on one specimen, thus this is the holotype.

Holotype male of *Leucothyreus bucki* Machatschke at NHMB (Naturhistorisches Museum, Basel, Switzerland) labeled: a) “Rio grande d.S. Francisco d.P. P.P. Buck S.” (front side of label, typeset, white paper), b) “Cima da Serra 940 m. 15.I.1935.” (obverse side of label “a”, handwritten, white paper), c) male genitalia card-mounted, d) “Leucothyreus Bucki Ohs. Cotype [male symbol]” (handwritten, white paper). Perhaps because Machatschke died before the publication was printed, the specimen does not have Machatschke’s holotype or determination label. However, this specimen matches the description in every respect. Machatschke (1974) stated that the male holotype was known from Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil; the female was unknown. He stated that the type was placed in his collection which was transferred from the Zoologische Staatssammlung (ZSMC) in Munich, Germany with the Georg Frey collection to the Naturhistorisches Museum in Basel in 1999. Two invalid type specimens (both males) are at ZMHB with Ohaus type labels. These are conspecific with *L. bucki* but were not included in the type series.

**Genus Microchilus** Blanchard, 1851

(Fig. 1-4, 8-25)

*Microchilus* Blanchard 1851: 240. Type species *Microchilus lineatus* Blanchard, 1851 (by monotypy).

**Description.** Scarabaeidae, Rutelinae, Geniatini. FORM (Figs. 1-4): Body elongate oval, sides subparallel, dorsum convex, pygidium exposed, elytral apex broadly rounded. Surface shagreened. HEAD: Surface
punctate. *Frons* weakly convex (not weakly concave as in *Xenogeniates*). Fronto-clypeal suture complete, straight. Eye canthus simple, not carinate. *Clypeus* with apex reflexed, lacking bead. *Mandible* (e.g., Fig. 12) with baso-external edge rounded, apical margin rounded, inner apex with 1 tooth; inner teeth lacking; molar region poorly or well defined. *Labrum* (e.g., Fig. 8) apiomedially with forward-projecting, acute tooth. *Maxilla* (e.g., Fig. 10) with baso-external edge of mala round, inner apex with 2-4 ridges; stipes with margins subparallel. *Mentum* (e.g., Fig. 9) in ventral view subhexagonal; disc greatly convex. Apex with well developed median, dorsally-produced tooth. *Antenna* 9-segmented with 3-segmented club, some fusion of segments 2-7 (stem); club elongate-oval in lateral view; sexual dimorphism apparent in club length (club of male longer than club of female). **PRONOTUM:** Widest at middle; anterior angles rounded. In frontal view, dorsal surface weakly convex. Surface variably punctate. Marginal bead incomplete at apex and base. **SCUTELLUM:** Parabolic, apex weakly acute, width about 1.5 times longer than length in the middle; surface variably punctate. **ELYTRON:** Surface with variably defined punctures and striae. Elytral suture length subequal to width of both elytra. Margins beaded; bead obscured at apex. Elytral apex weakly rounded. *Epipleuron* rounded in cross section; ventral side bare, lacking setose ridge or hairs, membrane present from metacoxa to apex. **PYGIDIUM:** Shape broadly triangular. Surface variably sculptured. Margin with sides and apex beaded. **VENTER:** *Prosternal shield* present, ventrally produced, hidden between procoxae. Mesometasternal keel lacking. Mesosternum not invaginated or forming a pit. In lateral view, male abdominal sternites flat or weakly convex, female abdominal sternites weakly or strongly convex. *Terminal sternite* in male with apex weakly emarginate, not emarginate in female. **LEGS** (e.g., Fig. 11, 15-20): *Protibia* with 2 teeth, weak swelling occasionally present in location of third tooth; inner apex with spur (not ventrally curved); base without notch. *Protarsomere I* variable in length (subequal to 3 times longer than protarsomere 2). *Protarsomeris II-IV* dorsoventrally flattened (less so in female), moderately densely or densely setose ventrally; setae short, tawny. *Protarsomere V* weakly flattened, with sparse ventral pilosity, inner apex with broad longitudinal slit. Protarsus with inner and outer claws unequally incised dorsoventrally (Fig. 11); unguitractor plate laterally flattened, weakly exposed beyond apex of protarsomere V, bisetose. *Meso- and metatibia* each expanded apically (mesotibial apex slightly more expanded in females); external edge with or without 1-2 weakly developed carinae; apex with spurs and spines; outer spur (male and female) half length or less than half length of inner spur; inner apex placed in depression. Surface variably punctate. *Male meso- and metatarsomere I* ventrally flattened or cylindrical, tarsomeres II-IV flattened, tarsomere V cylindrical with weakly developed sub-basal tooth, setose ventrally or not (tarsomeres I and V less setose), setae short, tawny. *Male meso- and metatarsomere I* ven-trally flattened or cylindrical, tarsomeres II-IV flattened, tarsomere V cylindrical with weakly developed sub-basal tooth, setose ventrally or not (tarsomeres I and V less setose), setae short, tawny; mesotarsus with inner and outer claws unequally incised dorso-ventrally; inner apex with weak longitudinal slit. *Female meso- and metatarsomeris I-V* subconical or weakly flattened ventrally, setose ventrally or not, setae short, tawny; metatarsomere V with weakly developed sub-basal tooth; mesotarsus with inner and outer claws unequally incised dorsoventrally; inner apex with weak longitudinal slit. *Metafemur* with dorsal, apicolateral area smooth, lacking stridulatory file. **HIND WING** (Fig. 14): Sparse, weakly developed hooks on precostal membrane present. Anterior edge from medial fold to apex of wing lacking setae. Vein *AA1+2* about 1/3 length of vein *AA3+4*. **PARAMERES** (Fig. 21-24): Shape symmetrical, diagnostic at the species level. **FEMALE GONOCOXITES** (Fig. 13): Shape symmetrical, not diagnostic at the species level.

**Diagnosis.** Within the Geniatini, members of *Microchilus* are diagnosed by the following characters: both claws on all legs (males and females) incised (shared only with members of the *Bolax campicola* group); protibia with two external teeth; protarsomeris dorsoventrally flattened, fifth protarsomere weakly flattened (most geniatines have some tarsomeris dorsoventrally flattened, but flattening of the fifth protarsomere is not commonly observed), and small size (less than 8.1 mm in length).

**Distribution** (Fig. 25). Central to eastern and southeastern Brazil.

**Natural History.** Ohaus (1908) reported that *M. lineatus* feeds on *Aristida pallens* Cav. (Poaceae). Ohaus (1900) reported that *M. lineatus* is diurnal and can be found on vegetation.

**Remarks.** *Microchilus* is unusual among the Rutelinae based on its small size (less than 8.1 mm), both claws on all legs incised in males and females, fifth protarsomere weakly flattened, and protibia with two
external teeth. These characters serve to diagnose the genus.

Among the Geniatini, the genus differs from members of the genus *Eunanus* due to form of the claws (all claws simple in *Eunanus*; all claws incised in *Microchilus*) and form of the mandibular apex (with a rounded, apical tooth in *Eunanus*; lacking rounded, apical tooth in *Microchilus*).

The genus differs from members of the genus *Leucothyreus* due to the form of the claws (one claw simple and one claw incised on all legs in *Leucothyreus*; all claws incised in *Microchilus*) and surface of pygidium (with well defined horizontal striae in *Leucothyreus*; with punctures or poorly defined horizontal striae in *Microchilus*).

The genus differs from members of the genus *Bolax* due to the form of the labrum (apex with a broad tooth in *Bolax*; apex with a narrow tooth in *Microchilus*), length of antennal club in the male (1.5 times length of segments 2-7 in *Microchilus*; subequal to segments 2-7 in *Bolax*), form of the protibial teeth (two external teeth in *Microchilus*; three external teeth in *Bolax*), and form of the pronotum (lacking longitudinal constrictions in *Microchilus*; often with longitudinal constrictions at apex and base in *Bolax*).

*Microchilus* species share a few characters with members of the *Bolax campicola* group (*B. campicola* Machatschke, *B. flavolineata* [Mannerheim], and *B. saucia* Ohaus). Species in the *Bolax campicola* group and members of *Microchilus* share the unusual incised internal and external claws on all legs. Whether the character of the claws is convergent or synapomorphic in *Microchilus* and *Bolax* will require phylogenetic analyses. Based on the characters that separate the genera *Bolax* and *Microchilus*, I treat *Microchilus* as a valid, monophyletic genus.

Other genera of Geniatini that are easily distinguished from *Microchilus*. See “Taxonomic History”, “Status of *Microchilus beckeri*”, and “Diagnosis” for additional discussion of the genus.

**Key to the species of Microchilus Blanchard**

Males: Terminal sternite with apex emarginated.
Females: Terminal sternite with apex entire, not emarginated.

1. Male protarsomere II less than twice width of protarsomere I (Fig. 15). Female protarsomere I subequal in length to protarsomeres II-IV combined (Fig. 19); metatibia with 2 transverse, external carinae ........................................................................................................................................... *M. lineatus* Blanchard
   — Male protarsomere II more than twice width of protarsomere I (Fig. 16). Female protarsomere I subequal in length to protarsomeres II-III (Fig. 20); metatibia lacking 2 transverse, external carinae ........................................................................................................... *M. rodmani* Jameson, new species

**Microchilus lineatus** Blanchard, 1851

(Fig. 1-2, 8-13, 15, 17, 19, 21-22, 25)

*Microchilus lineatus* Blanchard 1851: 240. Type specimens not examined. Probably at Paris. Blanchard’s description provided no indication regarding the number of specimens or gender of specimens used in the original description. The only locality information in the original description is “Brésil”.

**Description.** Length 6.1-7.9 mm; width at humeri 3.2-4.2 mm. COLOR: Head, pronotum, scutellum, pygidium, and venter red-brown to castaneous; elytra tan with well-defined or poorly defined longitudinal, castaneous vittae; elytra rarely entirely castaneous. HEAD: *Frons* in lateral view with base and disc flat or weakly convex, punctate; punctures small and moderate in size, moderately dense, some setose; setae minute, tawny. Interocular width of male 5.8-6.7 transverse eye diameters; female 8.1-10.9. *Clypeus* in lateral view with base and disc weakly convex or flat, margins weakly concave; in dorsal view, apex broadly rounded, moderately reflexed. Surface densely punctate, punctures small and moderate in size, some setose; setae minute, tawny. *Mandible* (Fig. 12) with poorly developed molar region and poorly developed lamellae. Apex with weakly developed, ventrally produced tooth. *Labrum* (Fig. 8) at middle apex with triangular, ventrally produced tooth. *Maxilla* (Fig. 10) with 2 poorly defined ridges; terminal segment of palpus elongate-oval, kidney-shaped, subequal in length to segments 1-3; basistipes with length about 1.5 times width at base. *Male antenna* with club 1.7-1.9 times longer than segments 2-7 combined
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Fe-male antenna with club about 1.3 times longer than segments 2-7 combined. PRONOTUM: Surface punctate; punctures minute (sparse) to moderate in size (moderately dense) (except for small area at mid-margin that is confluent). Scutellum with surface moderately densely punctate, punctures moderate in size. ELYTRON: Surface punctate with weakly to moderately defined striae. Punctures round or ocellate, small, moderately dense, some setose; setae minute, tawny. Striae indicated by depressed, punctate line; punctures round or ocellate, small, moderately dense, some setose: 2 striae adjacent to suture (neither reaching apex), 4 striae on disc in male (neither reaching apex; 4-6 striae in female), single stria laterad of humerus in male (1-2 in female). Intervals moderately densely punctate; punctures round or ocellate, small, moderately dense or occasionally confluent, some setose; setae minute, tawny. Odd intervals fused with castaneous color or not. Elytral sutural length 6.9-8.3 times length of scutellum. PYGIDIUM: Surface convex in lateral view, moderately densely punctate; punctures on mid-disc irregularly rounded, moderately large, moderately dense; punctures laterally and at margin transversely elongate, moderately large to large, moderately dense (laterally) to confluent (at margin). Apical bead complete. VENTER: Mesosternum not invaginated, not forming a rounded pit. Base of first sternite at middle simple, not produced ventrally. Last sternite of male at apex with moderately deep to deep emargination (middle of emargination subequal to 1/2 length of sternite or entire length of sternite); middle of emargination rounded. LEGS (Fig. 11, 15, 17, 19): Male protarsomere I elongate (about 1.5 times longer than protarsomere II), weakly flattened dorsoventrally; protarsomeres II-III weakly elongate (slightly longer than wide), dorsal surface weakly convex; protarsomere V elongate (subequal to protarsomeres III-IV), subcylindrical (weakly flattened dorsoventrally). Female protarsomere I subequal to protarsomeres II-IV combined, shape subcylindrical; protarsomere II moderately elongate (about 1.5 times longer than wide), protarsomeres III-IV weakly elongate (slightly longer than wide), shape nearly subconical; protarsomere V slightly elongate (about 1.5 times longer than protarsomere IV), subcylindrical (weakly flattened dorsoventrally). Metatrophic with apex not produced beyond posterior border of femur. Male metatibia with moderately developed, external carina near apical third. Female metatibia with poorly developed, external carina in basal third and well developed, external carina near apical third. Inner apical spur about 1/3 length of apical spur in male; 1/3 to 1/2 length of apical spur in female. PARAMERES (Fig. 21-22): Shape asymmetrical.

Diagnosis. Microchilus lineatus is distinguished from M. rodmani by the following characters: second protarsomere elongated in the male (Fig. 15) (subequal in width and length in M. rodmani [Fig. 16]); male metatibia with carinae in apical third (Fig. 17) (lacking external carinae in male M. rodmani [Fig. 18]); female metatibia with carinae in basal third and near apical third (lacking external carinae in female M. rodmani); pronotum lacking white, scale-like setae (sparse, white scale-like setae present on pronotum in M. rodmani); and form of male parameres (Fig. 21-22). Additional characters that separate the species are: mandible with molar region and lamellae poorly developed (moderately developed in M. rodmani) and labrum at middle apex with triangular, ventrally-produced tooth (quadrate, ventrally-produced tooth in M. rodmani).

Distribution (Fig. 25). Southeastern Brazil in the Atlantic Coastal Forest.
**Locality Data.** 41 specimens examined from BMNH, CASC, CMNC, ISNB, LACM, UCCC, UNSM, ZMHB. BRAZIL (36). MINAS GERAIS (11): Uberaba (10); no data (1). PARANA (3): Ponta Grossa (3). SAO PAULO (22): Batatais (2); Itu, Fazenda Pau d’Alho (1); Sao Jose dos Campos (6); Yporanga (9); no data (4). NO DATA (5).

**Temporal Data.** January (1), February (2), October (9), November (8), December (2).

**Natural History.** *Microchilus lineatus* feeds on *Aristida pallens* Cav. (Poaceae) (Ohaus 1908). The species is diurnal and can be found on vegetation during the day (Ohaus 1900).

**Remarks.** Color variation in *M. lineatus* ranges from reddish-brown to brown, thus overlapping with color in *M. rodmani*. Based on locality information, *M. lineatus* and *M. rodmani* are apparently sympatric in a portion of their range (from Uberaba south to near Sao Paulo).

**Microchilus rodmani** Jameson, new species

(Fig. 3-4, 14, 16, 18, 20, 23-25)


**Holotype Male** (Fig. 3). Length 7.3 mm; width at humeri 4.0 mm. COLOR: Head, pronotum, scutellum, legs, and pygidium reddish-brown; venter castaneous; elytra tan with well-defined, reddish-brown, longitudinal vittae. HEAD: *Frons* in lateral view with base and disc flat, punctate; punctures minute (base) to small (apex), moderately dense, sparsely setose; setae minute, tawny. Interocular width 6.1 transverse eye diameters. *Clypeus* in lateral view with base and disc flat, margins weakly concave; in dorsal view, apex broadly rounded, moderately reflexed. Surface densely (base) to confluentely punctate (apex), punctures moderate to moderately large in size. *Mandible* with molar region and lamellae moderately developed. Apex with weakly developed ventrally produced tooth. *Labrum* at middle apex with quadrate, ventrally-produced tooth. *Maxilla* with 3 poorly defined ridges; terminal segment of palpus elongate-oval, kidney-shaped, subequal in length to segments 1-3; basistipes with length subequal to width at base.
Antenna with club 1.9 times longer than segments 2-7 combined. PRONOTUM: Surface punctate; punctures on disc minute (sparse) to small (moderately dense), punctures on base and margins dense, some setose; setae on disc minute and tawny, setae on margins moderate in length, scale-like, white. Scutellum with surface moderately densely punctate, punctures minute and small, some setose; setae short, scale-like, white. ELYTRON: Surface punctate, with weakly to moderately defined striae. Punctures round or ocellate, small, moderately dense, some setose; setae minute, tawny. Striae indicated by depressed, punctate line; punctures round or ocellate, small, moderately dense, some setose: 2 striae adjacent to suture (reaching apex), 4 striae on disc (reaching apex), 2 striae laterad of humerus. Intervals moderately densely punctate; punctures round or ocellate, small, moderately dense or occasionally confluent, some setose; setae minute, tawny. Striae suffused with dark reddish-brown color. Elytral sutural length 9.0 times length of scutellum. PYGIDIUM: Surface convex in lateral view, densely (mid-disc) to confluently punctate (margins); punctures transversely elongate, moderately large to large, some setose near margins; setae white, scale-like, short. Apical bead complete. VENTER: Mesosternum not invaginated, not forming a rounded pit. Base of first sternite at middle simple, not produced ventrally. Last sternite of male at apex with moderately deep emargination (middle of emargination subequal to 1/2 length of sternite); middle of emargination rounded. LEGS (Fig. 16, 18, 20): Male protarsomere I subequal in length and width (length subequal to length of protarsomere II), dorsoventrally flattened; protarsomeres II-III slightly wider than long (1.2 wider than long), dorsal surface weakly convex; protarsomere IV subequal in width and length; protarsomere V elongate (1.6 times longer than protarsomere IV), weakly flattened dorsoventrally. Metatrochanter with apex not produced beyond posterior border of femur. Metatibia lacking external carinae. Inner apical spur about 1/3 length of apical spur in male. PARAMERES (Figs. 23-24): Shape asymmetrical.

Allotype Female (Fig. 4). The allotype differs from the male holotype in the following respects: Length 7.3 mm; width at humeri 3.8 mm. HEAD: Interocular width 8.4 transverse eye diameters. Antenna with club 1.4 times longer than segments 2-7 combined. ELYTRON: Intervals densely punctate. LEGS: Protarsomere I elongate (subequal in length to protarsomeres II-III), weakly dorsoventrally flattened; protarsomeres II-IV subequal in width and length, weakly dorsoventrally flattened; protarsomere V elongate (about 1.7 times longer than protarsomere IV), weakly flattened dorsoventrally. Metatibia lacking external carinae. Inner apical spur about 1/3 to 1/2 length of apical spur.

Paratypes (6 males, 5 females). The paratypes differ from the allotype and holotype in the following respects: Length 6.6-8.1 mm; width at humeri 3.7-4.4 mm. COLOR: Light reddish-brown to dark reddish-brown; venter castaneous; elytral vittae reddish-brown or castaneous. HEAD: Frons in lateral view with base and disc flat or weakly convex. Interocular width of male 6.1-8.7 transverse eye diameters; female 6.7-7.9. Clypeus in lateral view with base and disc weakly convex or flat. Male antenna with club 1.9-2.0 times longer than segments 2-7 combined. Female antenna with club about 1.4-1.8 times longer than segments 2-7 combined. ELYTRON: Striae suffused with castaneous or dark reddish-brown color. Elytral sutural length 6.8-9.8 times length of scutellum. LEGS: Male protarsomeres II-III slightly wider than long (1.2-1.3 wider than long); male protarsomere V elongate (1.5-1.7 times longer than protarsomere IV). Female metatibia occasionally with poorly developed, external carina near apical 1/3.

Diagnosis. Microchilus rodmani is separated from M. lineatus by the following characters: second protarsomere in the male subequal in length and width (Fig. 16) (second protarsomere elongated in male M. lineatus [Fig. 15]); male metatibia lacking external carinae in (Fig. 18) (male of M. lineatus with carina near apical third [Fig. 17]); female metatibia lacking external carinae (female M. lineatus with carinae in basal third and near apical third); sparse, white scale-like setae on pronotum (not present in M. lineatus); and form of male parameres (Fig. 23-24). Additional characters separating the species are: mandible with molar region and lamellae moderately developed (poorly developed in M. lineatus) and labrum at middle apex with quadrate, ventrally-produced tooth (triangular, ventrally produced tooth in M. lineatus).

Etymology. Microchilus rodmani is named in honor of James Rodman, former program director at the National Science Foundation, for his enduring passion for systematics and for implementing the NSF-PEET program model that has helped address global problems associated with the biodiversity crisis by
training new systematists, monographing poorly known taxonomic groups, and disseminating biodiversity data electronically (Rodman and Cody 2003). As stated by Wheeler (2004), “a renaissance in taxonomy is impossible without visionary and courageous leadership.” Rodman provided this leadership.

**Distribution** (Fig. 25). Central to southeastern Brazil.


**Temporal Data.** November (4), December (4).

**Natural History.** Unknown.

**Remarks.** Based on locality information, *M. lineatus* and *M. rodmani* are apparently sympatric in a portion of their range (from Uberaba south to near São Paulo).

**Conclusions**

As a result of this research, *Microchilus* is considered a natural group that includes *M. lineatus* and *M. rodmani* and is characterized by both claws on all legs in males and females incised (shared only with members of the *Bolax campicola* group), protibia with two external teeth, form of the fifth protarsomere that is dorsoventrally flattened and setose ventrally, and small size (less than 8.1 mm in length). Based on character examination, *Microchilus beckeri* is transferred to *Leucothyreus* (*Leucothyreus beckeri* [Martínez], new combination) and *L. bucki* Machatschke is a new junior synonym of *Leucothyreus beckeri* (Martínez). Characters that support the new combination are discussed. The Geniatini catalog (Jameson and Hawkins 2005) should be updated as follows:

**MICROCHILUS** Blanchard, 1851: 240
[Gender: Masculine] 2 species

* *M. lineatus* Blanchard, 1851: 240
  BRAZIL: Minas Gerais, Paraná, São Paulo

*M. rodmani* Jameson, n. sp.
  BRAZIL: Goias, Minas Gerais, São Paulo

**LEUCOTHYREUS** Macleay, 1819: 145
[Gender: Masculine] 164 species and subspecies

*Leucothyreus beckeri* (Martínez), 1964: 425
  *Microchilus beckeri* Martínez. NEW COMBINATION
  BRAZIL: Rio Grande do Sul

*Leucothyreus bucki* Machatschke 1974: 143-146. **NEW SYNONMY**
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